

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION**  
13

14 MARTHA ZEPEDA OLIVARES,  
15 individually and on behalf of the  
16 ESTATE OF MAXIMILIANO SOSA,  
17 JR.; MAXIMILIANO SOSA, SR,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 CITY OF FRESNO; UNKNOWN  
21 LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS,  
22 POLICE CHIEF PACO  
23 BALDERRAMA and DOES 1-30,

24 Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-01575-JLT-SAB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE  
STIPULATION RE CONTINUING  
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT AND  
MANDATORY SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE**

*Complaint Filed: 02/23/2024*  
*Current Response Date: 03/08/2024*

25 Pursuant to the Court's inherent and statutory authority, including but not  
26 limited to the Court's authority under the applicable Federal Rules of Civil  
27 Procedure and the United States District Court, Eastern District of California Local  
28 Rules; after due consideration of all of the relevant pleadings, papers, and records in  
this action; and upon such other evidence or argument as was presented to the Court;  
Good Cause appearing therefore, and in furtherance of the interests of justice,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

☐ the responsive pleading date and the mandatory scheduling conference are continued as follows:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	REQUESTED DEADLINE
Responsive Pleading Due Date	March 8, 2024	September 6, 2024
Mandatory Scheduling Conference	March 26, 2024	September 24, 2024

☐ the responsive pleading date and the mandatory scheduling conference are hereby taken off calendar until additional heirs not currently names as plaintiffs file a complaint.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2024

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Stanley Boone,  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE